EXHIBIT 25

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 2113-21 Filed 04/20/17 Page 2 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
6	Plaintiff,) Case No.
7	vs.) CV 10-03561 WHA
8	GOOGLE, INC.,
9	Defendant.)
10)
11	
12	
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF GOOGLE, INC.
16	DESIGNEE: ANWAR GHULOUM
17	Palo Alto, California
18	Wednesday, December 9, 2015
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
23	KELLI COMBS, CSR No. 7705
24	Job No. 2189230
25	Pages 1 - 203
	Page 1

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
1	тне Gase s 3: 10 сы 10 256 1 - WHA Docum	ent 2
2	question.	
3	BY MR. RAMSEY:	
4	Q All right.	
5	You previously testified, I think the goal	
6	was to use a programming language that was familiar	
7	to developers, at least tactically and semantically.	
8	Do you recall stating that?	
9	A Syntactically and semantically, yes.	
. 0	Q When you said that, you were talking about	
. 1	the Java platform, correct?	
2	A Well, the programming language.	
. 3	Q Okay.	
4	So what did you mean when you said that	
. 5	the goal was to use a programming language that was	
6	familiar to developers, at least tactically and	
7	semantically?	
8	MR. KWUN: Objection; misstates prior	
9	testimony, also vague and ambiguous.	
20	THE WITNESS: So I meant syntactically.	
21	What I mean by that is that I think programmers want	
22	something familiar syntactically, so, for example,	
23	you know, the use of curly braces in the syntax	
24	after language is something that was probably	
25	attracted C and C++ developers to Java. So I think	
	Page 12	
	Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
1	MR. KWUN: Objection; form.	

THE WITNESS: So the -- excuse me. The --I think in the way I think about this is that the Java core libraries, which, I believe, these 37 packages are a part of, are intrinsic to the language design; that is, they are, you know -- a language that's made up of syntax isn't terribly useful unless you have libraries that let you do useful things with low-level kinds of operations, like strings and so on. So I think these are viewed as -- by many people as intrinsic to the language design. BY MR. RAMSEY: It's true that somebody could write a program in the Java programming language without using the 37 packages at issue and instead, use JNI, correct? MR. KWUN: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: No, I actually think it would be very difficult. JNI interfaces that are --I don't know if they're part of the 37 packages, but they're APIs as part of the core libraries. BY MR. RAMSEY: You agree you can write the program in the Java programming language using the JNI interface?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 14

2113-21t Filede04/20/17novPages3+0f16have something that programmers were somewhat familiar with or looked familiar.

BY MR. RAMSEY:

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

25

- Q How about --
- Α Sort of my speculation, so...
- Okay.

Do you have an understanding of why Google chose to use the 37 API packages that are at issue in this case, in particular?

MR. KWUN: Objection; lacks foundation.

MR. RAMSEY: Just, Counsel -- I'd just -just a reminder, the judge also requires only "objection; form." No -- no further specificity. No harm, no foul.

THE WITNESS: I don't know why -- I don't know what -- why those decisions were made at the time because I wasn't there. Yeah, I don't. BY MR. RAMSEY:

0 Do you have --

I mean, just do you have a position, sitting here on behalf of Google, why the 37 API packages that are -- the 37 Java API packages that are at issue in this case, why those are used in the Android programming platform today?

Page 13

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. KWUN: Objection; form. 1 2 BY MR. RAMSEY: 3 0 Just that is true, correct? 4 Yes, that is true. 5 And when using the JNI interfaces, one does not have to use the 37 -- the set of 37 6 7 packages that are at issue in this case? 8 MR. KWUN: Objection; form. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if this -- I'm 10 not sure if the -- if what is used to use JNI is covered in those 37 packages. 11 BY MR. RAMSEY: 12 13 0 Okay. 14 Have you reviewed the 37 packages, or are 15 you generally familiar with the 37 packages --16 Generally familiar, but I haven't reviewed them in detail. 17 MR. KWUN: Just caution the witness to 18 19 make sure that you give me some time to make 20 objections, if I need to. THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry. 21 2.2 BY MR. RAMSEY: 23 Why do programmers who use the Java 24 programming platform, in Google's view, appreciate 25 the APIs?

Page 15

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19 20

21 2.2

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY
1	GPL-licensed Caser 3:10 00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
2	platform?
3	MR. KWUN: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
4	THE WITNESS: If I understand the question
5	correctly, no, we have not done that.
6	BY MR. RAMSEY:
7	Q So is is it correct to say that the use
8	of OpenJDK GPL-licensed code within Android is a
9	prospective plan of Google's?
10	MR. KWUN: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
11	THE WITNESS: Can you define what you mean
12	by "prospective"?
13	BY MR. RAMSEY:
14	Q I mean, it's something that Google is
15	going to do in the future.
16	A Yes, that's our plan.
17	Q Is there a time, a specific time, in which
18	that's going to happen?
19	THE WITNESS: Question for counsel.
20	MR. KWUN: Privilege issue?
21	THE WITNESS: Not privilege.
22	MR. RAMSEY: You know, let's let's
23	we may hit a few areas; let's get a feel for how it
24	goes. Let's take a quick break, and you guys can
25	step out and come back. I also note there are going
	Page 28
	Veritext Legal Solutions

866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

question about the use of Android in the platform, my assumption was that you meant that we were actually shipping this, so the answer would have been no, which is the answer I gave. I think we are currently working with OpenJDK in the platform, so by "use" you meant, you know, integration now in preparation for future release, then, yes.

0 Okay.

But as of today in December 2015, releases of the Android platform to developers or OEMs or any other interested party all contain non-OpenJDK code? MR. KWUN: Objection; form.

THE WITNESS: They do not contain OpenJDK

code.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

BY MR. RAMSEY:

- So what is your understanding of the license that is associated with the OpenJDK code?
- I know it by name. GPLv2 with Classpath Exception. One word.
- And do you have an understanding on behalf 0 of Google what that license requires of licensees?

MR. KWUN: Objection.

Are you asking about a legal

24 understanding?

MR. RAMSEY: I'm asking about Google's

Page 30

2113-21. Filed 04/20/17 Page 4 of 6 MR. KWUN: Yeah, fair enough.

> THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at 10:06 a.m.

> > (Recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:09 a.m.

MR. KWUN: Before we go further, I just want to make the clarification, which I think will address the issue that my client was worried about, that we are designating the entirety of this transcript Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only under the terms of the protective order.

MR. RAMSEY: Right. And subject to everybody negotiating if there's questions about designations later, absolutely.

MR. KWUN: Absolutely. Obviously, it's subject to further revision. But as a temporary

MR. RAMSEY: Okav. Great.

BY MR. RAMSEY:

Ω So my question to you, Mr. Ghuloum is: Is there a specific time that Google plans to replicate the GPL code from OpenJDK into the Android platform?

Yes. And in clarification to your earlier

Page 29

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

understanding. 1 2 MR. KWUN: Okay. Objection; calls for a 3 legal conclusion. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't 5 understand the legalities of it fully. I do understand some of the constraints we operate under 6 7 with GPL code. BY MR. RAMSEY: 8 9 Tell me the constraints that Google 10 operates under with respect to GPL code. We -- let's see. We -- by "GPL code" you 11 12 mean a specific version of the GPL license? 13 GPL Version 2 with the Classpath Exception?

So are you familiar with a license called

Α Yes. So you mean that version?

This is the version of GPL license I'm Ω talking about.

Yeah. We are obviously unable to copy the code into other modules, but we are able to link against that code.

What do you mean "copy" --

You are unable to copy the code into other modules?

So the source code may not be used in other parts of the Android system.

Page 31

ву мг. ramsey Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 2113-21 he Filed 04/20/17 Page 5 of 6 2 Have there been any discussions between 3 Google and OEMs about the requirements of the GPL 4 license in the context of a future version of 5 Android? MR. KWUN: Objection; beyond the scope. 6 7 THE WITNESS: As it relates to -- to GPLv2 8 or something else? 9 BY MR. RAMSEY: 10 0 We're talking about GPLv2 With the Classpath Exception. You understand that license is 11 what governs OpenJDK code? 12 13 Α Sure. Yes. Yes. 14 0 Okav. We -- I don't know of specific discussions 15 Α with OEMs about this. We deal with GPL code with 16 17 OEMs all the time. There are parts of the Android platform that are GPL'd and we have GPL obligations 18 19 as a result. 20 0 Is the part of the Android platform, as you just put it, that are GPL'd, is that the Linux 21 22 kernel? Α Correct. 23 24 And presently the Linux kernel is the only part of code related to Android that is subject to 25 Page 34 Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY BY MR. RAMSEY: 1 2 0 Correct. 3 -- and files? Yes, I believe so. 4 And so would you anticipate that there 5 would be a -- some sort of conversation between 6 Google and OEMs about whether OEMs were willing to 7 accept GPL'ing their own added APIs? 8 MR. KWUN: Objection; form. 9 THE WITNESS: We will -- we will certainly 10 disclose to them that we are using OpenJDK. BY MR. RAMSEY: 11 Do you believe that it is possible that 12 13 some OEMs would take the position that they did not 14 want to subject their new added APIs to the GPL 14 15 license? 15 16 MR. KWUN: Objection; form. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I 17 17 honestly -- obviously I don't know what their 18 18 19 considerations are, but, again, given that they 19 haven't had issues with GPL code in the past, I 20 20 don't think it'll be a problem. 21 21 22 BY MR. RAMSEY: 2.2 23 If an OEM such as, let's say, Samsung, for 23 24 example, had APIs -- new APIs that wanted to add to 24 25 the core libraries and wanted to keep those 25 Page 36

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
                I'm -- I'm not 100 percent sure, but I
          Α
     think so.
 3
               And for the rest of the Android platform
 4
 5
     in the stack above the Linux kernel, Google purports
     to license that part of code under the Apache
 6
 7
     license, correct?
 8
               MR. KWUN: Objection; form.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Apache or Apache compatible.
10
     BY MR. RAMSEY:
               What do you mean by "Apache compatible"?
11
          0
               BSD licenses, I believe, are compatible.
12
          Δ
13
     I'm no expert in this space.
14
          0
               Okav.
15
                If Google releases an open J- -- release
     of Android in the future that contains GPL-licensed
16
17
     APIs, isn't it true that the -- that any OEM who
     wanted to add new APIs to that would have to agree
18
19
     in advance that they're willing to be subject to
20
     giving their -- their -- any new APIs they want to
     add away under the GPL?
21
2.2
               MR. KWUN: Objection; form.
23
               THE WITNESS: Again, specifically you're
24
     referring to adding APIs to those OpenJDK
25
     packages --
                                                  Page 35
                         Veritext Legal Solutions
                            866 299-5127
              HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
     proprietary, don't you agree that it's likely that
 1
 2
     Samsung would -- would not agree to use the OpenJDK
 3
     libraries?
 4
               MR. KWUN: Objection; incomplete
 5
     hypothetical and objection to the form.
 6
               THE WITNESS: It's difficult -- I mean,
 7
     this is -- again, it's a guess. It's -- the
 8
     difficulty here is that it's unlikely that any OEM
 9
     partner would actually add libraries to the OpenJDK
10
     packages.
     BY MR. RAMSEY:
11
               Isn't it true that Samsung has -- has
12
13
     added extra keyboard functionality in one of their
```

APIs -- in APIs in the core library? MR. KWUN: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm specifically aware of where I know that partners who've made changes have been in the applications frameworks in Android. BY MR. RAMSEY: And the application frameworks is part of 0 the Android stack; is that correct? It's, yeah, part of the overall software picture.

0

And so in the applications framework is a

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

23

24 25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

through these Gasec & degree CV-03561-WHA Document 2113-21 Filed Mod /20/17 Page 6 of 6 MR. KWUN: Objection; foundation and 2 3 object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think we would have to 4 5 provide equivalent functionality. BY MR. RAMSEY: 6 7 But in the current version of Android that Ω 8 uses the 37 Java API packages, the functionality 9 provided by those packages is described the same 10 within the packages as descriptions used over in Java? 11 MR. KWUN: Objection; vague and ambiguous. 12 13 THE WITNESS: In terms of description, 14 what do you mean specifically? BY MR. RAMSEY: 15 So, for example, class -- the description Ω 16 17 of the function of the class NumericShaper, do you believe that that description provided to developers 18 19 in Android is the same as it would be described in 20 Java? MR. KWUN: Objection; foundation. 21 2.2 THE WITNESS: I would expect, for the most part, it is and, in general, it should be. I don't 23 24 have any specific instances where it isn't. 25 Page 186

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
2
     BY MR. RAMSEY:
 3
               All right.
               So we talked a while ago about the DEX
 4
 5
     compiler.
 6
               Do you recall that?
 7
               The -- sorry. I don't think we ever
 8
     specifically talked about the DEX compiler. But are
 9
     you referring to the Java class file to DEX?
10
          Ω
               Correct.
               So you recall we talked about converting
11
     Java bytecode into a -- into -- into Dalvik
12
```

Correct.

bytecode, correct?

wouldn't be the case.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is there a process that Google uses to ensure that -- that process results in a full transliteration of the Java bytecode into the Dalvik bytecode?

MR. KWUN: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I mean, there's multiple tests that we have. So we have tests written -thousands of tests written in terms of source Java language that, you know -- at the language level or checking the semantics of these API calls, and these are compiled into DEX bytecode and run on devices.

Page 188

Okay.

And for the method declarations within the classes, the Java classes used in Android, would you expect that those declarations are the same as the counterparts in the Java platform?

MR. KWUN: Objection; foundation.

THE WITNESS: Repeat the question again.

9 Sorry.

BY MR. RAMSEY:

For the method declarations within the 0 classes, the Java classes used in Android, would you expect those declarations to be the same as their counterparts in the Java platform?

Α Yeah.

MR. KWUN: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, I would.

18 BY MR. RAMSEY:

> And do you believe that the method declarations used in the Java classes in Android are used for the same purpose in Android as they are in the Java platform?

> MR. KWUN: Objection; vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I believe so. I don't -- I don't -- I can't recall any instances where that

> > Page 187

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

In fact, they're part of our Compatibility Test 1 2 Suite for Android, and we ensure that those pass on, you know, all devices that ship and are called 3 4 Android. 5 BY MR. RAMSEY:

> 0 Okav.

So Google has created its own

Compatibility Test Suite for Android, correct?

Yes, that's correct.

And Google does not use the Java TCK, in other words, the Oracle compatibility test in order to test Android?

Α That's correct.

Those are different tests; the Oracle TCK test of compatability is a different test of compatability than the Android Compatability Test Suite?

Yes, that's correct.

Are there any tests that Google performs to ensure that Java bytecode maps uniquely to DEX bvtecode?

MR. KWUN: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It's not -- it's not necessarily a goal of ours that Java code maps

Page 189